Distribution-restrained Softmax Loss for the Model Robustness



Chen Li Inspur Electronic Information Industry



Introduction

• Al models are vulnerable



"panda"

Adversarial Noise





"gibbon"

• Robustness plays a important role in AI safety



stop sign Confidence: 0.9153

and Networking, 173 (2020)





"vulture"

Adversarial Rotation





& SALTY NI

"not hotdog"

Hao Wang, et al.



Adversarial Photographer







"orangutan"

"hotdog"

+







Adversarial perturbation

flowerpot Confidence: 0.8374

2

Fei Wu, et al. EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications

Introduction



Hao Wang, et al.

Loss to Robustness



- CE are implicitly weighed more than samples with predictions that agree more with provided labels in the gradient update
- MAE treats every sample equally, which makes it more robust to noisy labels
- MAE can concurrently cause increased difficulty in training, and lead to performance drop
- GCE is a trade-off loss function between performance and robustness

Hao Wang, et al.

$$\mathcal{L}_q(f(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{e}_j) = \frac{(1 - f_j(\boldsymbol{x})^q)}{q}$$

Al Roubustness v. s. Human Robustness









Hao Wang, et al.

Relationship Between Adversarial Examples and 2nd Softmax



 After attacks, the second largest softmax probabilities trends to be the largest one
One hypothesis: as the second largest softmax probabilities decrease, it becomes more difficult for an adversarial attack to manipulate them into becoming the largest one

Hao Wang, et al.

Distribution-Restrained Softmax Loss Function (DRSL)



$$L(f(x;\theta),y) = -1_y^T \log \theta$$



Hao Wang, et al.

$og(softmax(f(x; \theta)))$ $+\tau \cdot d(softmax(f(x;\theta)), avg)$

Robustness of CE/DRSL based model



Hao Wang, et al.

CE	GCE	DRSL
0.953 ± 0.0013	0.953 ± 0.0024	0.952 ± 0.0016
0.964 ± 0.0011	0.963 ± 0.0013	0.962 ± 0.0012
0.894±0.0012	0.896 ± 0.0017	0.893±0.0023
0.883±0.0014	0.883 ± 0.0016	0.881 ± 0.0017

DRSL is more robust than other

methods in same precision level

DRSL can be extended to more models

Visualization of Distribution-Restrained Softmax

Hao Wang, et al.

All the loss functions achieve a similar precision level DRSL is difficult to attack DRSL is robust in label noise After attacks, adversarial examples of other two models are diffusion in the reduced space, while DRSL's are concentrated at the tip of clusters

Conclusions

 $L(f(x;\theta),y) = -1_{y}^{T}\log(softmax(f(x;\theta)))$

 $+\tau \cdot d(softmax(f(x;\theta)),avg)$

- We identified a significant factor that affects the robustness of models: the distribution characteristics of softmax values for non-real label samples
- the results after an attack are highly correlated with the distribution characteristics
- After the distribution diversity of softmax is suppressed in loss function, a significant improvement of model robustness were found
- DRSL can be applied not only to classification models but also to other softmax-inclusive models, such as generative models, which inspires us to further investigate and explore of the method

Hao Wang, et al.

Thank You

Thanks to all authors of the present work

